

Comparative Analysis: May 2005 & May 2006

District PD Survey Results

May 2005 – surveys completed = 160

May 2006 – surveys completed = 243

Not all questions were answered which results in a minimal standard error of measurement.

	Do Not Know		Somewhat Familiar		Very Familiar	
	May/05	May/06	May/05	May/06	May/05	May/06
1. Contact person for PD	9%	2%	27%	14%	64%	84%
2. Effective PD		14%		48%		36%
3. PD events planned by ATA	17%	19%	42%	40%	35%	41%
4. PD activities paid for by ATA	57%	33%	31%	39%	10%	28%
5. Purpose of PD Steering Committee	26%	17%	44%	36%	30%	45%
6. ATA will cover cost of specialist council membership	35%	38%	40%	49%	26%	13%
7. How to provide input to school PD plans	5%	9%	38%	28%	57%	63%
8. PD activities linked to school ed plan		6%		33%		61%
9. Funding available to you for conferences, coursework	9%	13%	47%	53%	44%	34%
10.1 School PD activities	3%	6%	29%	18%	67%	76%
10.2 District curriculum initiatives	4%	8%	44%	40%	52%	52%
10.3 Central Alberta Regional Consortium	13%	22%	52%	47%	35%	31%
10.4 AB Learning Committees	17%	13%	52%	46%	27%	38%
11. DI initiatives in your school	3%	3%	30%	26%	67%	71%
12. Tech. integration initiatives in your school	4%	10%	42%	40%	54%	50%
13.1 District: Faith Development and religious studies	3%	3%	14%	10%	83%	87%
13.2 District: Mentorship	10%	15%	21%	19%	67%	65%
13.3 District: Technology integration	8%	6%	37%	32%	54%	62%
13.4 District: Special Education	17%	14%	27%	32%	57%	54%
14. Participate in a curriculum or project team	9%	10%	44%	40%	46%	50%
15. AISI projects district wide	21%	14%	46%	40%	33%	45%
16. Funding available for Newman course	40%	38%	34%	36%	26%	26%
17. Subject specialist lead teacher committees	30%	22%	50%	46%	20%	32%
18. District initiatives for teaching improvements	24%	14%	61%	58%	22%	28%

Submitted by - Professional Development Steering Committee Chairpersons

Justin Flunder & Ryan Sawula